

The recipe for moments of L -functions

Siegfried Baluyot
American Institute of Mathematics (AIM)

PIMS CRG L -functions in Analytic Number Theory
Workshop on Moments of L -functions
UNBC, 25 July 2022

Conjecture (Folklore)

$$\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt \sim c_k T (\log T)^{k^2} \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

for some (unspecified) constant c_k

- $c_1 = 1$ (Hardy and Littlewood, 1918)
- $c_2 = 1/(2\pi^2)$ (Ingham, 1926)
- No other c_k is known (i.e. proven), but we have conjectures:
- Conrey and Ghosh (1993): c_3
- Conrey and Gonek (1998): c_3 and c_4
- Keating and Snaith (1998) using
random matrix theory: c_k for all complex k with $\text{Re}(k) \geq -1/2$
- Diaconu, Goldfeld, and Hoffstein (2000) using
multiple Dirichlet series: c_k for all positive integers k
- Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith (2000) via
a procedure called the **recipe**: c_k for all positive integers k
- *All the conjectured values of c_k agree.*

The CFKRS recipe for moments of zeta

- Let $\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(T) := \int_T^{2T} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it) \prod_{\beta \in B} \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it) dt$,
where the “shifts” α, β are small complex numbers.
- basic steps in recipe: Use the approximate functional equation, ignore any oscillating terms, ignore any “off-diagonal” terms
- The expectation is that these ignored terms somehow cancel

Conjecture (CFKRS, 2000)

$$\mathcal{M}_{A,B}(T) \sim \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in V} \beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A \setminus UUV^-}(n) \tau_{B \setminus VUU^-}(n)}{n} dt$$

- Notation: $\prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta(\alpha + s) =: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(n)}{n^s}$ and $U^- := \{-\alpha : \alpha \in U\}$
- We call the cardinality $|U| = |V|$ the number of *swaps*

Example: fourth moment of zeta (known)

Conjecture (CFKRS, 2000)

$$\int_T^{2T} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \prod_{\beta \in B} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) dt \sim \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in V} \beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A \setminus UUV^-}(n) \tau_{B \setminus VUU^-}(n)}{n} dt$$

If $A = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ and $B = \{\beta_1, \beta_2\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(n) \tau_B(n)}{n} \\ &= \frac{\zeta(1 + \alpha_1 + \beta_1) \zeta(1 + \alpha_1 + \beta_2) \zeta(1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1) \zeta(1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_2)}{\zeta(2 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2)} \\ &=: \mathcal{Z}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Example: fourth moment of zeta (known)

Conjecture (CFKRS, 2000)

$$\int_T^{2T} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \prod_{\beta \in B} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) dt \sim \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in V} \beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A \setminus UUV}(-n) \tau_{B \setminus VUU}(-n)}{n} dt$$

Theorem (CFKRS, 2000)

$$\int_T^{2T} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_1 + it\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha_2 + it\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta_1 - it\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta_2 - it\right) dt \sim \int_T^{2T} \left\{ \mathcal{Z}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \beta_1, \beta_2) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha_1 - \beta_1} \mathcal{Z}(-\beta_1, \alpha_2; -\alpha_1, \beta_2) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha_1 - \beta_2} \mathcal{Z}(-\beta_2, \alpha_2; \beta_1, -\alpha_1) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha_2 - \beta_1} \mathcal{Z}(\alpha_1, -\beta_1; -\alpha_2, \beta_2) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha_2 - \beta_2} \mathcal{Z}(\alpha_1, -\beta_2; \beta_1, -\alpha_2) + \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \beta_1 - \beta_2} \mathcal{Z}(-\beta_1, -\beta_2; -\alpha_1, -\alpha_2) \right\} dt.$$

Analogous theorem in random matrix theory

Conjecture (CFKRS, 2000)

$$\int_T^{2T} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + it\right) \prod_{\beta \in B} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta - it\right) dt \sim \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in V} \beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A \setminus UUV^-(n)} \tau_{B \setminus VUU^-(n)}}{n} dt$$

Theorem (CFKRS, 2000)

Let $U(N)$ be the group of $N \times N$ unitary matrices. Then integrating with respect to the Haar measure gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{U(N)} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \det(1 - e^{-\alpha} M) \prod_{\beta \in B} \det(1 - e^{-\beta} M^{-1}) dM \\ &= \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} (e^N)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha - \sum_{\beta \in V} \beta} Z(A \setminus UUV^-, B \setminus VUU^-), \end{aligned}$$

where $Z(A, B) := \prod_{\alpha \in A, \beta \in B} (1 - e^{-\alpha - \beta})^{-1}$.

The recipe for moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions

- Let $\chi_{8d}(\cdot) = (8d|\cdot)$ be the Kronecker symbol. This is a real primitive character for odd square-free d .
- We have the approximate functional equation

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_{8d}\right) \approx \sum_n \frac{\chi_{8d}(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2} + \alpha}} + \left(\frac{8d}{\pi}\right)^{-\alpha} \sum_n \frac{\chi_{8d}(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2} - \alpha}}.$$

- We also have the expected value

$$\sum_{d \leq D} \mu^2(2d) \chi_{8d}(n) \approx \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ (d, n) = 1}} \mu^2(2d)$$

if n is an odd square, and ≈ 0 otherwise. These lead to

Conjecture (CFKRS, 2000)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d \leq D} \mu^2(2d) \prod_{\alpha \in A} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_{8d}\right) \\ & \sim \sum_{U \subseteq A} \sum_{d \leq D} \mu^2(2d) \left(\frac{8d}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n < \infty \\ n = \square \\ (n, 2d) = 1}} \frac{\tau_{A \setminus U}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Multiple Dirichlet series

- We may use Perron's formula to write (assuming convergence)

$$\sum_{d \leq D} \mu^2(2d) \prod_{\alpha \in A} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_{8d}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{D^w}{w} F(A; w) dw,$$

$$\text{where } F(A; w) := \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(2d)}{d^w} \prod_{\alpha \in A} L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_{8d}\right).$$

- Expanding each L -function leads us to expect a pole at $w = 1$.
- By the functional equation

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha, \chi_{8d}\right) \approx \left(\frac{8d}{\pi}\right)^{-\alpha} L\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \chi_{8d}\right),$$

we have for each $U \subseteq A$ the functional equation

$$F(A; w) \approx \left(\frac{8}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha} F\left(A \setminus U \cup U^-; w + \sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha\right).$$

- Thus we expect a pole at $w = 1 - \sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha$ for each $U \subseteq A$.
- In ongoing work, Martin Čech and I show that **the residue of $F(A; w)$ at $w = 1 - \sum_{\alpha \in U} \alpha$ gives rise to the term corresponding to U in the recipe prediction.**

Dirichlet polynomial approximations

- To understand how the off-diagonal terms cancel, Conrey and Keating (2015) examined **Dirichlet polynomial approximations** of zeta.
- Using Perron's formula and the recipe, we expect that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_T^{2T} \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_B(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-it}} dt \\
 & \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{z+w}}{zW} \int_T^{2T} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + z + it\right) \prod_{\beta \in B} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \beta + w - it\right) \\
 & \quad \times dt dw dz \\
 & \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{z+w}}{zW} \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ |U|=|V|}} \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U}(\alpha+z) - \sum_{\beta \in V}(\beta+w)} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A_z \setminus U_z \cup V_w^-}(n) \tau_{B_w \setminus V_w \cup U_z^-}(n)}{n} dt dw dz
 \end{aligned}$$

- If $|U| = |V| = \ell$, then we have $\left(\frac{X}{t^\ell}\right)^{z+w}$ here. Thus, intuitively, the **ℓ -swap terms contribute to the main term only if $X \gg T^\ell$**

One-swap terms for moments of zeta

- Conrey and Keating (2015) found that the 1-swap terms for zeta are the consequence of formulas for correlations of divisor-sums
- This connection has been made rigorous by Alia Hamieh and Nathan Ng

Theorem (Hamieh and Ng, 2021)

Assume the expected asymptotic formula for correlations of divisor sums. If $X = T^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_T^{2T} \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_B(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-it}} dt \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{z+w}}{zw} \sum_{\substack{U \subset A, V \subset B \\ 0 \leq |U|=|V| \leq 1}} \\ \times \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U}(\alpha+z) - \sum_{\beta \in V}(\beta+w)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ m=n}} \frac{\tau_{A_z \setminus U_z \cup V_w^-}(m) \tau_{B_w \setminus V_w \cup U_z^-}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} dt dw dz$$

- The mentioned “expected asymptotic formula” results from applying the delta method of Duke, Friedlander, and Iwaniec (1994) to the sum $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ m-n=h}} \tau_A(m) \tau_B(n) f(m, n)$ for a suitable f , and ignoring error terms.

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ m-n=h}}$$

One-swap terms for **twisted** moments of zeta

- Conrey and Keating (2015) found that the 1-swap terms for zeta are the consequence of formulas for correlations of divisor-sums
- This connection has been made rigorous by Alia Hamieh and Nathan Ng

Theorem (in progress)

Assume the expected asymptotic formula for correlations of divisor sums. If $X = T^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $T \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{it} \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_B(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-it}} dt \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{z+w}}{zw} \sum_{\substack{U \subset A, V \subset B \\ 0 \leq |U|=|V| \leq 1}} \\ \times \int_T^{2T} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} (\alpha+z) - \sum_{\beta \in V} (\beta+w)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mN = nM}} \frac{\tau_{A_z \setminus U_z \cup V_w^-}(m) \tau_{B_w \setminus V_w \cup U_z^-}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} dt dw dz$$

- The mentioned “expected asymptotic formula” results from applying the delta method of Duke, Friedlander, and Iwaniec (1994) to the sum $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mN - Mn = h}} \tau_A(m) \tau_B(n) f(m, n)$ for a suitable f , and ignoring error terms.

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mN - Mn = h}}$$

One-swap terms for moments of primitive Dirichlet L -functions

Caroline Turnage-Butterbaugh and I have proven, on GLH, that the 1-swap terms predicted by the recipe are correct for the family of Dirichlet L -functions of primitive characters (averaged over the conductor).

Theorem (B. and Turnage-Butterbaugh, 2022)

Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. If $X = Q^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $Q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{Q < q < 2Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m) \chi(m)}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_B(n) \bar{\chi}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \\ & \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{z+w}}{z^w} \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ 0 \leq |U|=|V| \leq 1}} \sum_{Q < q < 2Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} (\alpha+z) - \sum_{\beta \in V} (\beta+w)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ m=n \\ (mn, q)=1}} \frac{\tau_{A_z \setminus U_z \cup V_w^-}(m) \tau_{B_w \setminus V_w \cup U_z^-}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} dw dz \end{aligned}$$

The cornerstone of our proof is the **asymptotic large sieve** developed by Conrey, Iwaniec, and Soundararajan (2011).

One-swap terms for **twisted** moments of primitive Dirichlet L -functions

Caroline Turnage-Butterbaugh and I have proven, on GLH, that the 1-swap terms predicted by the recipe are correct for the family of Dirichlet L -functions of primitive characters (averaged over the conductor).

Theorem (B. and Turnage-Butterbaugh, 2022)

Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. If $X = Q^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $Q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{Q < q < 2Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \bar{\chi}(M)\chi(N) \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m)\chi(m)}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_B(n)\bar{\chi}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \\ & \sim \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^{z+w}}{zw} \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A, V \subseteq B \\ 0 \leq |U|=|V| \leq 1}} \sum_{Q < q < 2Q} \sum_{\chi \bmod q}^* \left(\frac{q}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U}(\alpha+z) - \sum_{\beta \in V}(\beta+w)} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mN = nM \\ (mnMN, q) = 1}} \frac{\tau_{A_z \setminus U_z \cup V_w^-}(m)\tau_{B_w \setminus V_w \cup U_z^-}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} dw dz \end{aligned}$$

The cornerstone of our proof is the **asymptotic large sieve** developed by Conrey, Iwaniec, and Soundararajan (2011).

One-swap terms for moments of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions

Brian Conrey and Brad Rodgers have proven, on GLH, that the 1-swap terms predicted by the recipe are correct for the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions.

Theorem (Conrey and Rodgers, 2020)

Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. If $X = D^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $D \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{D < d < 2D} \mu^2(2d) \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(n)(8d|n)}{\sqrt{n}} \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^z}{z}$$
$$\times \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A \\ 0 \leq |U| \leq 1}} \sum_{D < d < 2D} \mu^2(2d) \left(\frac{8d}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} (\alpha+z)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n < \infty \\ n = \square \\ (n, 2d) = 1}} \frac{\tau_{A_z \setminus U_z \cup U_z^-}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} dz$$

Here, $(8d|n)$ is the Kronecker symbol. The cornerstone of their proof is a technique developed by Soundararajan (2000) that uses the **Poisson summation formula** to transform smoothed sums of $(8d|n)$ over d into sums of Gauss-type sums.

Brian Conrey and Brad Rodgers have proven, on GLH, that the 1-swap terms predicted by the recipe are correct for the family of quadratic Dirichlet L -functions.

Theorem (Conrey and Rodgers, 2020)

Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. If $X = D^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $D \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{D < d < 2D} \mu^2(2d) \mathbf{(8d|M)} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(n)(8d|n)}{\sqrt{n}} \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^z}{z}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A \\ 0 \leq |U| \leq 1}} \sum_{D < d < 2D} \mu^2(2d) \left(\frac{8d}{\pi}\right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} (\alpha+z)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n < \infty \\ nM = \square \\ (nM, 2d) = 1}} \frac{\tau_{A_z \setminus U_z \cup U_z^-}(n)}{\sqrt{n}} dz$$

Here, $(8d|n)$ is the Kronecker symbol. The cornerstone of their proof is a technique developed by Soundararajan (2000) that uses the **Poisson summation formula** to transform smoothed sums of $(8d|n)$ over d into sums of Gauss-type sums.

1-swap terms for L -functions associated with Hecke eigencuspforms

Brian Conrey and Alessandro Fazzari have proven, on GLH, that the 1-swap terms predicted by the recipe are correct for the family of L -functions associated with Hecke eigencuspforms \mathcal{H}_k of weight k , with $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}_k$, let $\lambda_f(n)$ denote the n th Hecke eigenvalue of f .

Theorem (Conrey and Fazzari)

Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. Let $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$. If $X = (k^2)^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \frac{1}{\omega_f} \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{m_1 \cdots m_r = m} \frac{\lambda_f(m_1) \cdots \lambda_f(m_r)}{m_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots m_r^{\alpha_r}} \\ \sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^z}{z} \sum_{\substack{VCA \\ 0 \leq |\vec{V}| \leq 1}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \frac{1}{\omega_f} \left(\frac{k^2}{4\pi^2} \right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in V} (\alpha+z)} G(A_z \setminus V_z \cup V_z^-) dz.$$

Here, ω_f is the natural weight that arises from the Petersson norm, and

$$G(A) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{m_1 \cdots m_r = m} \frac{\prod_p \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi U_{\text{ord}_p(m_1)}(\cos \theta) \cdots U_{\text{ord}_p(m_r)}(\cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta}{m_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots m_r^{\alpha_r}}.$$

1-swap terms for **twisted** moments of $L_f(s)$, $f \in \mathcal{H}_k$

Brian Conrey and Alessandro Fazzari have proven, on GLH, that the 1-swap terms predicted by the recipe are correct for the family of L -functions associated with Hecke eigenforms \mathcal{H}_k of weight k , with $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}_k$, let $\lambda_f(n)$ denote the n th Hecke eigenvalue of f .

Theorem (Conrey and Fazzari)

Assume the Generalized Lindelöf Hypothesis. Let $A = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$. If $X = (k^2)^\eta$ with $1 < \eta < 2$, then as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \frac{1}{\omega_f} \lambda_f(M) \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{m_1 \cdots m_r = m} \frac{\lambda_f(m_1) \cdots \lambda_f(m_r)}{m_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots m_r^{\alpha_r}}$$

$$\sim \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \frac{X^z}{z} \sum_{\substack{V \subset A \\ 0 \leq |V| \leq 1}} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{H}_k} \frac{1}{\omega_f} \left(\frac{k^2}{4\pi^2} \right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in V} (\alpha+z)} G_M(A_z \setminus V_z \cup V_z^-) dz.$$

Here, ω_f is the natural weight that arises from the Petersson norm, and

$$G_M(A) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{m_1 \cdots m_r = m} \frac{\prod_p \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi U_{\text{ord}_p(m_1)}(\cos \theta) \cdots U_{\text{ord}_p(m_r)}(\cos \theta) U_{\text{ord}_p(M)}(\cos \theta) \sin^2 \theta d\theta}{m_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots m_r^{\alpha_r}}.$$

Higher swap terms: The Conrey-Keating heuristic

- Inspired by ideas of Bogomolny and Keating (1995), Conrey and Keating (2019) have developed a heuristic that shows how we may be able to split moments of zeta to get the ℓ -swap terms for general ℓ .
- In ongoing work, Brian Conrey and I refine the heuristic and adapt it to other families of L -functions.
- Starting observation: if we partition $A = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_\ell$, then $\tau_A = \tau_{A_1} * \dots * \tau_{A_\ell}$, and similarly for B . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T^{2T} \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_B(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-it}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(2)} \frac{X^{\xi+\eta}}{\xi\eta} \int_T^{2T} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_A(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi+it}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_B(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta-it}} dt d\xi d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(2)} \frac{X^{\xi+\eta}}{\xi\eta} \int_T^{2T} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A_j}(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi+it}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{B_j}(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta-it}} \right\} dt d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

- Instead of using this, we introduce some twisting and **replace each factor by its average with respect to *only* the 1-swap terms**

Higher swap terms: The Conrey-Keating heuristic

- By Perron's formula and writing τ_A, τ_B as Dirichlet convolutions (from previous slide):

$$\int_T^{2T} \sum_{m \leq X} \frac{\tau_A(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+it}} \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{\tau_B(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}-it}} dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(2)} \frac{X^{\xi+\eta}}{\xi\eta} \int_T^{2T} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A_j}(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi+it}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{B_j}(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta-it}} \right\} dt d\xi d\eta$$

- We consider instead

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(2)} \frac{X^{\xi+\eta}}{\xi\eta}$$

$$\times \int_T^{2T} \frac{1}{(\ell!)^2} \sum_{\substack{M_1 \dots M_\ell = N_1 \dots N_\ell \\ (M_j, N_j) = 1 \quad \forall j}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left\langle \left(\frac{M_j}{N_j} \right)^{it} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{A_j}(m)}{m^{\frac{1}{2}+\xi+it}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_{B_j}(n)}{n^{\frac{1}{2}+\eta-it}} \right\rangle_1$$

$$\times dt d\xi d\eta$$

- Here, the symbols $\langle \rangle_1$ mean that we are replacing the integrand of the twisted moment by its average with respect to *only* the 1-swap terms.

Higher swap terms: The Conrey-Keating heuristic

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(2)} \frac{X^{\xi+\eta}}{\xi\eta} \int_T \frac{1}{(\ell!)^2} \sum_{\substack{M_1 \dots M_\ell = N_1 \dots N_\ell \\ (M_j, N_j) = 1 \ \forall j}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq A_j, V \subseteq B_j \\ |U|=|V|=1}} \frac{\tau_{(A_j)_{\xi} \setminus U_{\xi} \cup V_{\eta}^{-}}(m) \tau_{(B_j)_{\eta} \setminus V_{\eta} \cup U_{\xi}^{-}}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} \right\} \\ \times \left(\frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{-\sum_{\alpha \in U} (\alpha + \xi) - \sum_{\beta \in V} (\beta + \eta)} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mN_j = nM_j}} \frac{\tau_{(A_j)_{\xi} \setminus U_{\xi} \cup V_{\eta}^{-}}(m) \tau_{(B_j)_{\eta} \setminus V_{\eta} \cup U_{\xi}^{-}}(n)}{\sqrt{mn}} \Bigg\} \times dt d\xi d\eta$$

- We write the sum over U, V as a multiple contour integral along small circles
- We then evaluate the sum over $M_1, \dots, M_\ell, N_1, \dots, N_\ell$ using the “unitary identity”

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1 \dots M_\ell = N_1 \dots N_\ell \\ (M_j, N_j) = 1 \ \forall j}} \prod_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ mN_j = nM_j}} \frac{\tau_{A_j}(m) \tau_{B_j}(n)}{m^s n^s} \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m, n < \infty \\ m=n}} \frac{\tau_A(m) \tau_B(n)}{m^s n^s}$$

- This leads to the prediction that \mathcal{S}_ℓ is asymptotic to a certain “**Vandermonde integral**”

Vandermonde integral prediction for zeta (B. and Conrey)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{S}_\ell &\sim \frac{1}{(\ell!)^2 (2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2)} \int_{(2)} \frac{X^{\xi+\eta}}{\xi\eta} \int_T \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{2\ell}} \oint_{|z_1|=\varepsilon} \cdots \oint_{|z_\ell|=\varepsilon} \\
 &\quad \times \oint_{|w_1|=\varepsilon} \cdots \oint_{|w_\ell|=\varepsilon} \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\sum_{j=1}^\ell (z_j + w_j - \xi - \eta)} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \beta \in B}} \zeta(1 + \alpha + \beta + \xi + \eta) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \alpha \in A}} \zeta(1 + \alpha + z_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \beta \in B}} \zeta(1 + \beta + w_j) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \alpha \in A}} (1/\zeta)(1 + \alpha + \xi + \eta - w_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \beta \in B}} (1/\zeta)(1 + \beta + \xi + \eta - z_j) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell \\ i \neq j}} (1/\zeta)(1 - z_i + z_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell \\ i \neq j}} (1/\zeta)(1 - w_i + w_j) \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell} \zeta(1 + z_i + w_j - \xi - \eta) \zeta(1 - z_i - w_j + \xi + \eta) \\
 &\quad \times \mathcal{A}(A, B, Z, W, \xi + \eta) dw_\ell \cdots dw_1 dz_\ell \cdots dz_1 d\xi d\eta
 \end{aligned}$$

- Here, \mathcal{A} is an absolutely convergent Euler product that has an explicit definition.
- The right-hand side **may be evaluated to give exactly the ℓ -swap terms** from the recipe prediction for moments of zeta.

Vandermonde integral theorem in RMT (Rodgers and Soundararajan)

Let $U(N)$ denote the group of $N \times N$ unitary matrices, with Haar measure. Then

$$\int_{U(N)} \prod_{\alpha \in A} \det(1 - e^{-\alpha} g) \prod_{\beta \in B} \det(1 - e^{-\beta} g^{-1}) dg = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\min\{|A|, |B|\}} J_{\ell},$$

where J_{ℓ} is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\ell} &= \frac{1}{(\ell!)^2 (2\pi i)^{2\ell+1}} \oint_{|\xi|=1} \mathfrak{z}(\xi) \oint_{|z_1|=\varepsilon} \cdots \oint_{|z_{\ell}|=\varepsilon} \oint_{|w_1|=\varepsilon} \cdots \oint_{|w_{\ell}|=\varepsilon} \\ &\quad \times (e^N)^{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (z_j + w_j - \xi)} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \beta \in B}} \mathfrak{z}(\alpha + \beta) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \alpha \in A}} \mathfrak{z}(\alpha + z_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \beta \in B}} \mathfrak{z}(\beta + w_j) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \alpha \in A}} (1/\mathfrak{z})(\alpha + \xi - w_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \beta \in B}} (1/\mathfrak{z})(\beta + \xi - z_j) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell \\ i \neq j}} (1/\mathfrak{z})(z_i - z_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell \\ i \neq j}} (1/\mathfrak{z})(w_i - w_j) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell} \mathfrak{z}(z_i + w_j - \xi) \mathfrak{z}(-z_i - w_j + \xi) \\ &\quad \times dw_{\ell} \cdots dw_1 dz_{\ell} \cdots dz_1 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathfrak{z}(x)$ defined by $\mathfrak{z}(x) := (1 - e^{-x})^{-1}$.