

# Euler products inside the critical strip

Arshay Sheth

University of Warwick

CRG Seminar for L-functions

25th February, 2025

# Theme of the talk

Let  $L(s)$  be any  $L$ -function which

- has an Euler product for  $\Re(s) > c$ .
- admits analytic continuation
- has a functional equation relating values at  $s \longleftrightarrow$  values at  $k - s$ .

The critical strip is the region  $k - c < \Re(s) < c$ , the critical line is the line  $\Re(s) = \frac{k}{2}$  and the central point is the point  $s = \frac{k}{2}$ .

Key theme of the talk: There is strong sense in which Euler products should persist even inside the critical strip.

# Outline of talk

- ① Example:  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves
- ② The general case: automorphic  $L$ -functions
- ③ Applications to Chebyshev's bias

Example:  $L$ -functions of elliptic curves

# The original version of the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve with rank  $\text{rk}(E)$  and for each prime  $p$ , let  $N_p = \#E_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , where  $E_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  denotes the set of non-singular  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -rational points on a minimal Weierstrass model for  $E$  at  $p$ .

## Conjecture (Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer)

We have that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \frac{N_p}{p} \sim C(\log x)^{\text{rk}(E)}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  for some non-zero constant  $C$  depending only on  $E$ .

# $L$ -functions of elliptic curves

Let  $a_p = p + 1 - N_p$  if  $p \nmid N_E$  and  $a_p = p - N_p$  if  $p | N_E$ . Then the  $L$ -function of  $E$  is defined for  $\Re(s) > 3/2$  by

$$L(E, s) = \prod_{p|N_E} (1 - a_p p^{-s})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{p \nmid N_E} (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1}.$$

- By the work of Wiles and Breuil–Conrad–Diamond–Taylor,  $L(E, s)$  admits an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation which relates  $L(E, s)$  to  $L(E, 2 - s)$ .
- In particular, the critical strip of  $L(E, s)$  is the region  $\frac{1}{2} < \Re(s) < \frac{3}{2}$  and the critical line of  $L(E, s)$  is the line  $\Re(s) = 1$ .

# Partial Euler product at $s = 1$

Let

$$P_E(x) = \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p | N_E}} \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-1}} \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \nmid N_E}} \frac{1}{1 - a_p p^{-1} + p^{-1}}$$

to be the partial Euler product at  $s = 1$ . Then

$$P_E(x) = \prod_{p \leq x} \frac{p}{N_p}$$

and so the conjecture can be reformulated to assert that

$$P_E(x) \sim \frac{1}{C(\log x)^{\text{rk}(E)}} \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $\text{rk}(E) = 0$ , the conjecture predicts that the Euler product should converge even at the central point.

# Modern formulation of the Birch and Swinnerton–Dyer conjecture

## Conjecture

*Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve. Then*

$$\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \text{rk}(E).$$



## Theorem (Goldfeld)

Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve. If

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \frac{N_p}{p} \sim C(\log x)^{\text{rk}(E)}$$

as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $L(E, s)$  satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis and  $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \text{rk}(E)$ . Moreover, if we set  $r := \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s)$ , then

$$C = \frac{r!}{L^{(r)}(E, 1)} \cdot \sqrt{2}e^{r\gamma},$$

- Does the converse hold?
- Why is there a factor of  $\sqrt{2}$ ? (if the partial Euler product at the centre converges to a non-zero value as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then its value is  $L(E, 1)/\sqrt{2}$ , as opposed to simply  $L(E, 1)$ ).

# Partial Euler products in the critical strip

What about the behaviour of partial Euler products in the right-half of the critical strip? (i.e. in the region  $1 < \Re(s) < \frac{3}{2}$ )?

## Proposition (S. )

Assume the Riemann Hypothesis holds for  $L(E, s)$ . Then for a complex number  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $1 < \Re(s) < \frac{3}{2}$ , we have that

$$\prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p|N_E}} (1 - a_p p^{-s})^{-1} \cdot \prod_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \nmid N_E}} (1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s})^{-1} =$$

$$L(E, s) \cdot \exp\left(-r \cdot \text{Li}(x^{1-s}) - R_s(x) + U_s(x) + O\left(\frac{\log x}{x^{1/6}}\right)\right),$$

where  $r = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s)$ ,  $\text{Li}(x)$  is the principal value of  $\int_0^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$ ,

$$R_s(x) = \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{\rho \neq 1} \frac{x^{\rho-s}}{\rho-s} + \frac{1}{\log x} \sum_{\rho \neq 1} \int_s^\infty \frac{x^{\rho-z}}{(\rho-z)^2} dz \quad \text{and} \quad U_s(x) = \sum_{\substack{\sqrt{x} < p \leq x \\ p \nmid N_E}} \frac{(\alpha_p^2 + \beta_p^2)}{2p^{2s}}.$$

# Analog of Ramanujan's result

## Theorem (Ramanujan)

Assume the Riemann Hypothesis for  $\zeta(s)$ . For all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\frac{1}{2} < \Re(s) < 1$  we have that

$$\prod_{p \leq x} (1-p^{-s})^{-1} = -\zeta(s) \exp \left( \operatorname{Li}(\vartheta(x)^{1-s}) + \frac{2sx^{\frac{1}{2}-s}}{(2s-1)\log x} + \frac{S_s(x)}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}-s}}{\log(x)^2}\right) \right),$$

where  $\operatorname{Li}(x)$  is the principal value of  $\int_0^x \frac{dt}{\log t}$ ,  $\vartheta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p$  and

$S_s(x) = -s \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho-s}}{\rho(\rho-s)}$ , the sum taken over all non-trivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$ .

- Similar asymptotic by Kaneko (2022) for Dirichlet  $L$ -functions.
- Key technique in all the proofs: explicit formulas.

## Definition

Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 2}$  be a measurable subset of the real numbers. The logarithmic measure of  $S$  is defined to be

$$\mu^\times(S) = \int_S \frac{dt}{t}.$$

# Euler product asymptotics

## Theorem (S.)

Assume the Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(E, s)$ . Then there exists a subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 2}$  of finite logarithmic measure such that for all  $x \notin S$ ,

$$\prod_{p \leq x} \frac{N_p}{p} \sim C(\log x)^r,$$

where  $r = \text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s)$ ,  $C = \frac{r!}{L^{(r)}(E, 1)} \cdot \sqrt{2}e^{r\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant and  $L^{(r)}(E, s)$  is the  $r$ -th derivative of  $L(E, s)$ .

*Proof Sketch:* Set  $s = 1 + \frac{1}{x}$  and let  $x \rightarrow \infty$  in the proposition. The LHS is asymptotic to  $\prod_{p \leq x} \frac{N_p}{p}$ . The term  $-r\text{Li}(x^{1-s})$  contributes the main term  $(\log x)^r$ , and the term  $U_s(x)$  contributes the factor of  $\sqrt{2}$  appearing in the constant  $C$ . □

# The contribution from the zeros

- The delicate issue in our proof is to handle the contribution coming from the zeros of  $L(E, s)$  in the term  $R_s(x)$ .
- By another explicit formula argument, we reduce the problem to estimating the sum  $\psi_E(x) = \sum_{\substack{p^k \leq x \\ p \nmid N_E}} (\alpha_p^k + \beta_p^k) \log p$ , where  $\alpha_p$  and  $\beta_p$  are the Frobenius eigenvalues at  $p$ .
- The Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(E, s)$  is equivalent to  $\psi_E(x) = O(x(\log x)^2)$ .
- We use a method of Gallagher to obtain, conditional on the Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(E, s)$ , the slightly refined estimate  $\psi_E(x) = O(x(\log \log x)^2)$  outside a set of finite logarithmic measure.

As a corollary of the theorem, we recover Goldfeld's result as a special case:

## Corollary

$OBSD \implies BSD.$

We also obtain a result in the direction of the converse

## Corollary

$BSD + RH \text{ for } L(E, s) \implies OBSD \text{ outside a set of finite logarithmic measure.}$

The general case: automorphic  $L$ -functions



## Theorem (Conrad)

If  $\chi$  is a non-trivial Dirichlet character with associated Dirichlet  $L$ -function  $L(s, \chi)$ , then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \leq x} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s})^{-1} = L(s, \chi) \quad (0.1)$$

for all  $s$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$  is equivalent to the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(s, \chi)$ .

- Similar statement holds for entire  $L$ -functions.
- What about convergence on the critical line? It is believed that a similar statement should hold even on the critical line. However, at the central point, an unexpected factor of  $\sqrt{2}$  is often known to appear.

## Second moment $L$ -functions

Let  $L$ -function  $L(s)$ , which we henceforth assume is normalised so its centre is at  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , be given by an Euler product

$$L(s) = \prod_p \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_{j,p} p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

Then its second moment  $L$ -function is given by

$$L_2(s) = \prod_p \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_{j,p}^2 p^{-s})^{-1}$$

and in practice is the ratio of the corresponding symmetric square  $L$ -function and the exterior square  $L$ -function.

# Examples of Conrad's theorem

## Theorem (Conrad)

Let  $R = \text{ord} \prod_{s=1} L_2(s)$ . If the Euler product at the centre converges, then its value equals  $L(\frac{1}{2})/\sqrt{2}^R$ .

## Example

If  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character,  $L_2(\chi, s) = L(\chi^2, s)$ . Hence, if  $\chi$  is a quadratic character, then  $R = -1$ ; thus for a quadratic character, if

$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \leq x} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-1/2})^{-1}$  exists, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{p \leq x} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-1/2})^{-1} = \sqrt{2} \cdot L\left(\frac{1}{2}, \chi\right).$$

# Numerical evidence

Let  $\chi_4$  be the non-trivial character mod 4. Then  $L(\chi_4, \frac{1}{2}) \approx 0.67$  and  $\sqrt{2} \cdot L(\chi_4, \frac{1}{2}) \approx 0.94$ .

$x$	$\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-1/2})^{-1}$
100	0.94
1000	0.89
10000	0.98
100000	0.97

# Why does $\sqrt{2}$ appear?

## Theorem (Conrad)

There is a constant  $M$  such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\alpha_{1,p}^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n,p}^2}{p} = -R \log \log x + M + o(1).$$

Via explicit formula arguments, a term that comes up is

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\alpha_{1,p}^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n,p}^2}{2p} - \sum_{p \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{\alpha_{1,p}^2 + \cdots + \alpha_{n,p}^2}{2p}$$

This equals

$$\left( -\frac{R}{2} \log \log x + M + o(1) \right) - \left( -\frac{R}{2} \log \log \sqrt{x} + M + o(1) \right) = -R \log \sqrt{2} + o(1).$$

# Kurokawa's conjecture

- Based on the above phenomena Kurokawa formulated a general conjecture about the convergence of partial Euler products at the centre of the critical strip.
- We now explain this conjecture in the setting of general automorphic  $L$ -functions attached to an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ .
- Any automorphic  $L$ -function can be written in the form

$$L(s, \pi) = \prod_p \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_{j,p} p^{-s})^{-1},$$

where, for the unramified primes  $p$ , the  $\alpha_{j,p}$ 's are the Satake parameters for the local representation  $\pi_p$ .

- Ramanujan–Petersson conjecture: for any  $p$  such that  $\pi_p$  is unramified,  $|\alpha_{j,p}| = 1$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

# Kurokawa's conjecture

## Conjecture (Kurokawa)

Keep the assumptions and notation as above. Let  $m = \text{ord}_{s=1/2} L(s, \pi)$ .  
The limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( (\log x)^m \prod_{p \leq x} \prod_{j=1}^n \left( 1 - \alpha_{j,p} p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

satisfies the following conditions:

(A) The above limit exists and is non-zero.

(B) It satisfies

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( (\log x)^m \prod_{p \leq x} \prod_{j=1}^n \left( 1 - \alpha_{j,p} p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}^{-R(\pi)}}{e^{m\gamma} m!} L^{(m)} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \pi \right),$$

where  $R(\pi) = \text{ord}_{s=1} L_2(s, \pi)$ .

# Evidence for the conjecture

- Ample numerical evidence
- Function field analog is known.



## Relation to error terms

Let  $a_\pi(p^k) = \alpha_{1,p}^k + \cdots + \alpha_{n,p}^k$  and let

$$\psi(x, \pi) = \sum_{p^k \leq x} \log p \cdot a_\pi(p^k).$$

Then the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the estimate

$$\psi(x, \pi) = O(\sqrt{x}(\log x)^2)$$

while Kurokawa's Conjecture is equivalent to the estimate

$$\psi(x, \pi) = o(\sqrt{x} \log x).$$

In this quantitative sense, Kurokawa's conjecture seems deeper than the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis.

# Relation to GRH

Error term may not be the best way to determine the precise relation between the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis and Kurokawa's conjecture; for instance, the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis is also equivalent to the slightly weaker error term  $\psi(x, \pi) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon})$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Can the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis can be related to the Euler product at the centre as well?

## Theorem (S.)

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $L(s, \pi)$  is entire and let  $m = \mathrm{ord}_{s=\frac{1}{2}} L(s, \pi)$ . Assume the

Ramanujan–Petersson Conjecture and the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(s, \pi)$ . Then there exists a subset  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 2}$  of finite logarithmic measure such that for all  $x \notin S$ ,

$$(\log x)^m \cdot \prod_{p \leq x} \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - \alpha_{j,p} p^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-1} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}^{-R(\pi)}}{e^{m\gamma} m!} \cdot L^{(m)}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \pi\right).$$

# Applications to Chebyshev's bias

# Chebyshev's bias

Chebyshev's bias originally referred to the phenomenon that, even though the primes are equidistributed in the multiplicative residue classes mod 4, there seem to be more primes congruent to 3 mod 4 than 1 mod 4.

Let  $\pi(x; q, a)$  denote the number of primes up to  $x$  congruent to  $a$  modulo  $q$  and let  $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 2} : \pi(x; 4, 3) - \pi(x; 4, 1) > 0\}$ . Knapowski–Turán conjectured that the proportion of positive real numbers lying in the set  $S$  would equal 1 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . However, this conjecture was later disproven by Kaczorowski conditionally on the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis, by showing that the limit does not exist.

Rubinstein and Sarnak instead considered the logarithmic density

$$\delta(S) := \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log X} \cdot \int_{t \in S \cap [2, X]} \frac{dt}{t}$$

## Theorem (Rubinstein–Sarnak)

*Assume the Generalised Riemann Hypothesis and that the non-negative imaginary parts of zeros of Dirichlet  $L$ -functions are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then*

$$\delta(S) = 0.9959 \dots$$

# Chebyshev's bias

## Definition (Aoki–Koyama)

Let  $(c_p)_p \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence over primes  $p$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \mid c_p > 0, p \leq x\}}{\#\{p \mid c_p < 0, p \leq x\}} = 1.$$

We say that  $(c_p)_p$  has a Chebyshev bias towards being positive if there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{c_p}{\sqrt{p}} \sim C \log \log x.$$

On the other hand, we say that  $c_p$  is unbiased if

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{c_p}{\sqrt{p}} = O(1).$$

# Chebyshev's bias for Satake parameters

## Theorem (S.)

Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$  such that  $L(s, \pi)$  is entire and let  $m = \mathrm{ord}_{s=1/2} L(s, \pi)$ . Assume the Ramanujan–Petersson Conjecture and the Riemann Hypothesis for  $L(s, \pi)$ . Then there exists a constant  $c_{\pi}$  such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\alpha_{1,p} + \cdots + \alpha_{n,p}}{\sqrt{p}} = \left( \frac{R(\pi)}{2} - m \right) \log \log x + c_{\pi} + o(1)$$

for all  $x$  outside a set of finite logarithmic measure, where  $R(\pi) = \mathrm{ord}_{s=1} L_2(s, \pi)$ .

Idea of proof: Take logarithm of the Euler product asymptotic at the central point.



# Example # 1

## Theorem (Aoki–Koyama)

Let  $\chi_4$  denote the non-trivial Dirichlet character modulo 4. Assume that Kurokawa's conjecture holds for  $L(\chi_4, s)$ . Then there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\chi_4(p)}{\sqrt{p}} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \log x + c + o(1)$$

In particular, in the sense of the previous definition, there is a Chebyshev bias towards primes congruent to 3 mod 4.

## Theorem (S.)

The same asymptotic holds outside a set of finite logarithmic measure assuming only GRH.

## Example # 2

### Theorem (Koyama–Kurokawa)

Let  $\tau(n)$  denote Ramanujan's tau function. Assume Kurokawa's conjecture for  $L(s, \Delta)$ . Then there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{\tau(p)}{p^6} = \frac{1}{2} \log \log x + c + o(1).$$

In particular, the sequence  $\tau(p)p^{-\frac{11}{2}}$  has a Chebyshev bias towards being positive.

### Theorem (S.)

The same asymptotic holds outside a set of finite logarithmic measure assuming only GRH.

Work in progress with Koyama: work out the case for general modular forms.

Thank you!