# Smooth Realization and Conjugation By Approximation

Alistair Windsor

The University of Memphis

University of Utah - Nov 9., 2020

### Motivation

von Neumann (1932) asked whether the models of classical ergodic theory had analogs in the smooth category. In modern terms,

#### Question

*Is every measure preserving transformation isomorphic to diffeomorphism of a compact manifold preserving a measure equivalent to the volume?* 

## Obstructions

Thanks to Kushnirenko (1965) we know that every volume preserving diffeomorphism of a compact manifold has finite entropy. Thus, we must augment our central question:

#### Question

Is every finite entropy measure preserving transformation isomorphic to diffeomorphism of a compact manifold preserving a measure equivalent to the volume?

There are obstructions to realization on low dimensional manifolds:

- A circle diffeomorphism preserving a smooth volume is isomorphic to a rotation.
- A weakly mixing surface diffeomorphism of positive entropy is Bernoulli.

## Weaker Requirements

If we weaken either of the requirements then the answer is in the affirmative.

#### Theorem (Lind-Thouvenot (1978))

- Every finite-entropy transformation is isomorphic to a linear automorphism of the 2-torus preserving a Borel probability measure.
- Every finite-entropy transformation is isomorphic to a homeomorphism of the 2-torus preserving Lebesgue measure.

#### Overview of Conjugation by Approximation Method

We consider a manifold M admitting a smooth non-trivial action of  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{T}}$ , that preserves a smooth Riemannian measure  $\lambda$ . For most purposes it suffices to consider  $M = \mathbb{T} \times [0,1]^{d-1}$ . Our desired transformation T will be the limit in  $\text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$  of the sequence of periodic diffeomorphisms  $T_n$  given by

$$T_n = B_n^{-1} \circ S_{\alpha_{n+1}} \circ B_n$$

where  $\alpha_n : \frac{p_n}{q_n} \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $B_n \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ .

$$(M,\lambda) \xrightarrow{T_n} (M,\lambda)$$
$$\begin{array}{c} B_n \\ B_n \\ M,\lambda \end{array} \xrightarrow{F_{\alpha_n}} (M,\lambda)$$

#### Overview of Conjugation by Approximation Method

We assume that  $B_n$  preserves the measure  $\lambda$ .

We need to impose conditions on our conjugating maps  $B_n$  and on the numbers  $\alpha_n$  if we are to hope to have  $T_n$  converge. We define our maps  $B_n$  inductively

$$B_n = A_n \circ B_{n-1} = A_n \circ \cdots \circ A_1$$

where we require

$$A_n \circ S_{\alpha_{n-1}} = S_{\alpha_{n-1}} \circ A_n.$$

This condition constitutes the **sole restriction** on the choice of  $A_n$ . Typically, the sequence of conjugating maps  $B_n$  **does not** converge.

## Smooth Convergence

Notice

$$d_{C^{n}}(T_{n}, T_{n-1}) = d_{C^{n}}(B_{n}^{-1} \circ S_{\alpha_{n}} \circ B_{n}, B_{n-1}^{-1} \circ R_{\alpha_{n-1}} \circ B_{n-1})$$
  
=  $d_{C^{n}}(B_{n}^{-1} \circ S_{\alpha_{n}} \circ B_{n}, B_{n-1}^{-1} \circ S_{\alpha_{n-1}} \circ A_{n}^{-1} \circ A_{n} \circ B_{n-1})$   
=  $d_{C^{n}}(B_{n}^{-1} \circ S_{\alpha_{n}} \circ B_{n}, B_{n-1}^{-1} \circ A_{n}^{-1} \circ S_{\alpha_{n-1}} \circ A_{n} \circ B_{n-1})$   
 $\leq C(n) \cdot (||DB_{n}^{-1}||_{C^{n+1}})^{n+1} \cdot |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_{n}|$ 

where C(n) depends only on the order of the derivatives and the geometry of the manifold.

This guarantees that, regardless of  $B_n$ , we can make  $d_{C^n}(T_n, T_{n-1})$  as small as we like by taking  $\alpha_n$  sufficiently close to  $\alpha_{n-1}$ 

## Smooth Convergence

We define

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \alpha_n + \beta_n$$
 where  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{k_n l_n q_n^2}$ 

we will have to chose  $k_n$  and  $l_n$  large enough to satisfy particular properties at later stages of the construction. This choice means that

$$p_{n+1} = p_n k_n l_n q_n + 1$$
$$q_{n+1} = k_n l_n q_n^2$$

and  $gcd(p_{n+1}, q_{n+1}) = 1$ .

#### Measurable Partitions

Here we follow notation of Kunde that makes explicit what was implicit in the original paper of Anosov-Katok and makes the combinatoric nature of future constructions clearer.

For simplicity we consider the two dimensional case where we may consider  $M = \mathbb{T} \times [0, 1]$  with the action  $S_{\alpha} = (x + \alpha, y)$ . Let  $\xi_{kq,s} = \{\Delta_{kq,s}^{i,j} : 0 \le i \le kq - 1, 0 \le j \le s - 1\}$  be a partition of M with

$$\Delta_{kq,s}^{i,j} = \left[rac{i}{kq},rac{i+1}{kq}
ight) imes \left[rac{j}{s},rac{j+1}{s}
ight)$$

Note that as  $k, s \to \infty$  we have  $\operatorname{diam}(\Delta_{kq,s}^{i,j}) \to 0$ .

#### Iterative Construction

Fix a decreasing sequence  $\epsilon_n > 0$  such that  $\sum_{n \in I} \epsilon_n < \infty$ . Suppose that we have  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  and  $\alpha_n = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$ , with  $p_n, q_n$  relatively prime, defined

• We choose  $k_n, s_n$  sufficiently large that

$$\operatorname{diam}(B_n^{-1}\Delta_{k_nq_n,s_m}^{i,j}) < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

and we choose an  $S_{1/q_n}$ -equivariant permutation of  $\xi_{k_nq_n,s_n}$ ,  $\pi_{n+1}$ . Note:

- $\{B_n^{-1}\xi_{k_nq_n,s_m}\}$  is a generating sequence of partitions.
- In many cases, we require that

$$\operatorname{diam}(B_n^{-1}\Delta_{k_nq_n,1}^{i,0}) < \frac{1}{2^n}$$

## Iterative Construction II

We would like to define  $A_{n+1}$  such that  $A_{n+1}^{-1}$  induces  $\pi_{n+1}$  on  $\xi_{k_nq_n,s_n}$  however this is clearly impossible for a smooth map. Let us define

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{kq,s}^{i,j} = \Big[\frac{i + \frac{\epsilon_n}{4}}{kq}, \frac{i + 1 - \frac{\epsilon_n}{4}}{kq}\Big) \times \Big[\frac{j + \frac{\epsilon_n}{4}}{s}, \frac{j + 1 - \frac{\epsilon_n}{4}}{s}\Big)$$

. which has the property that

$$\lambda\big(\bigcup_{i,j}\tilde{\Delta}_{kq,s}^{i,j}\big) > 1 - \epsilon_n$$

and instead require that

- **2**  $A_{n+1}$  induces the permutation  $\pi_{n+1}$  on  $\{\tilde{\Delta}_{kq,s}^{i,j}\}$ . This is possible by the Moser Trick.
- Solution Finally  $l_n$  remains available to ensure that  $\alpha_{n+1}$  is sufficiently close to  $\alpha_n$ .

The properties of the limiting diffeomorphism depend on the choices of  $k_n, \pi_{n+1}$ , and  $l_n$ .

Alistair Windsor (University of Memphis) Conjugation by Approximation it is Decessary to Utah 11/19

#### Spaces

We may achieve T is an prescribed neighborhood of the initial element  $S_{\alpha_1}$  or by applying a fixed diffeomorphism B at the outset in a neighborhood of any diffeomorphism conjugated to an element of the action. Thus, the natural space for these constructions is

$$\mathcal{A}(M) = \overline{\{B^{-1} \circ S_t \circ B : t \in \mathbb{T}, B \in \mathrm{Diff}^\infty(M, \lambda)\}}$$

where the closure is in  $\text{Diff}^{\infty}(M, \lambda)$ .

One may also consider the closure of all diffeomorphism that are conjugated to a specific element of the action:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(M) = \overline{\{B^{-1} \circ S_{\alpha} \circ B : B \in \mathrm{Diff}^{\infty}(M,\lambda)\}}$$

where the closure is in  $\text{Diff}^{\infty}(M, \lambda)$ .

#### Anosov-Katok

New Examples in Smooth Ergodic Theory. Ergodic Diffeomorphisms. Trans. Moscow. Math. Soc., Vol 23, 1970 The paper is 34 pages long and was apparently written in a weekend. It proves the following results:

- The set of weakly mixing diffeomorphisms is residual (i.e. it contains a dense  $G_{\delta}$ -set) in  $\mathcal{A}(M)$  in the  $\mathrm{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ -topology.
- There is an ergodic diffeomorphism T ∈ Diff<sup>∞</sup>(M, λ) that is measure-theoretically isomorphic to the circle rotation by λ = lim<sub>n→</sub> α<sub>n</sub>.
- So For h∈ Z<sup>+</sup> there is an ergodic diffeomorphism T ∈ Diff<sup>∞</sup>(M, λ) that is measure-theoretically isomorphic to some ergodic translation on T<sup>h</sup>.
- There is an ergodic diffeomorphism T ∈ Diff<sup>∞</sup>(M, λ) measure-theoretically isomorphic to some ergodic translation on T<sup>∞</sup>.

Before this paper it was unknown whether there was an ergodic area-preserving diffeomorphism of the disk!

Alistair Windsor (University of Memphis)

## Quantitative Anosov-Katok

If one examines the arguments in Anosov and Katok one sees that the set of weakly mixing diffeomorphisms is residual in  $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(M)$  for a  $G_{\delta}$  set of  $\alpha$ . Unfortunately, neither the paper nor the proof enables us to precisely describe the set of possible  $\alpha$ s.

#### Theorem (Fayad-Saprykina, 2005)

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$  is Liouville, i.e for every C > 0 and every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  there exist infinitely many pairs with

$$|\alpha-\frac{p}{q}|<\frac{C}{q^n}.$$

then the set of weak mixing diffeomorphisms in residual in  $A_{\alpha}(M)$ . If the manifold M is the disk then the restriction of the diffeomorphism to the boundary is the rotation  $R_{\alpha}$ 

## Quantitative Anosov-Katok

The comment about the boundary is there because of "Herman's Last Geometric Theorem" which says that a diffeomorphism T of the disk that has a Diophantine rotation on the boundary has the boundary accumulated by a positive measure of T-invariant curves (and consequently is not ergodic).

#### Theorem

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  is Diophantine if and only if there is no ergodic diffeomorphism of the disk whose restriction to the boundary has rotation number  $\alpha$ .

The key is an estimate on the size of  $||DB_n^{-1}||_{C^k}$  (

$$\|DB_n^{-1}\|_{C^k} < C \cdot q_{n-1}^{2k}$$

## Quantitative Anosov-Katok

Using the same argument in Anosov-Katok for measure-theoretic isomorphism and the estimates in Fayad-Saprykina

#### Theorem (Fayad-Saprykina-W., 2007)

For every Liouville  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$  there exists an ergodic  $T \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M, \lambda)$  that is measure-theoretically isomorphic to the rotation  $R_{\alpha}$ . If  $M = \mathbb{T}^d$  for  $d \geq 2$ then the result can be strengthened to a uniquely ergodic diffeomorphism.

We call these non-standard realizations of  $R_{\alpha}$ . The existence of a non-standard realization for  $R_{\alpha}$  when  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$  is a

Diophantine number remains open.

## Analytic Anosov-Katok

One has to be very careful when working in the analytic category. The fact that the existence of an ergodic real-analytic diffeomorphism of the disk is unknown makes it clear that the full scope of the Anosov-Katok machinery will not work.

Using the AK machinery and explicit formulas for  $A_n$ 

#### Theorem (Saprykina, 2003)

There exist real-analytic, uniquely ergodic, area preserving diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{T}^2$  that are not conjugate to a linear automorphisms.

## Analytic Anosov-Katok

More general real-analytic constructions on tori awaited Banerjee's *block-slide* maps.

#### Theorem (Banerjee, 2017)

There exists uniquely ergodic real-analytic diffeomorphisms of the two dimensional torus  $T^2$  preserving the Lebesgue measure that are metrically isomorphic to some irrational rotations of the circle.

#### Theorem (Kunde 2017)

Let  $\rho > 0$ ,  $m \ge 2$  and  $\mathbb{T}^m$  be the torus with Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ . There exists a weak mixing real-analytic diffeomorphism  $T \in \operatorname{Diff}_{\rho}^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^m, \lambda)$  preserving a measurable Riemannian metric.

### Analytic Anosov-Katok

#### Theorem (Banerjee-Kunde, 2019)

For any  $\rho > 0$ ,  $h \ge 1$  and  $d \ge 2$  there exists an ergodic real-analytic diffeomorphism  $T \in \text{Diff}_{\rho}^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^{d}, \lambda)$  which is measure-theoretically isomorphic to a translation on  $\mathbb{T}^{h}$ .